



## Growth Performance of *Morus alba* L. (Mulberry Plant) on Soils from Selected Ecological Zones in Nigeria

<sup>1</sup> Ojo, M.O.

<sup>2</sup> Oyedeji, O.F.

<sup>1</sup> Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria, P.M.B. 5054, Jericho Hill, Ibadan



**Corresponding author:**

Oyedeji, O.F.

[info4faith@yahoo.com](mailto:info4faith@yahoo.com)

Received: July 19, 2019

Revised: Oct 30, 2019

Published: Oct 31, 2019

### ABSTRACT

The study covered the growth of four varieties of *Morus alba* L. (K2, S30, S14 and S54) on the soils from three selected ecological zones (Rain Forest, Swamp Forest, Guinea Savannah) of Nigeria carried out within Federal College of Forestry, Ibadan. There were twelve (12) treatments; each treatment was replicated three times. The experiment was laid in 3 x 4 factorial experiments in completely randomized design (CRD). Growth variable such as plant height (cm), stem diameter (mm), leaf production and leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) were assessed. Data collected were subjected to Analysis of Variance at 5% level of probability. The result revealed that T<sub>7</sub> (SOP+S14) had the highest mean height (27.97cm), stem diameter (3.74m), leaf production (17.33) and leaf area (20.57cm<sup>2</sup>) while T<sub>5</sub> (SOP+K2) had least performance of 6.43cm, 2.11mm, 5.33 and 7.47cm<sup>2</sup> respectively. Furthermore, analysis of variance showed that there was no significant difference (P<0.05) among treatments with respect to plant height, stem diameter, number of leaf and leaf area. The result indicated that selected varieties of white mulberry plant thrived well under the soils from selected ecological zones that were examined in this study. It was therefore recommended that, for best plant height and leaf production, S14, S30 and S54 varieties should be raised on Onne (SOP) soil, Ore soil (SOO) should be used to raise all the varieties of *Morus alba* studied and New Bussa (SNB) soil should be used in raising S30 and S54.

**Keywords-** : *Morus alba*, ecological zones, soils, mulberry plant

## INTRODUCTION

The importance of soil to forest production cannot be overemphasized because soil serves as a source of nutrient and water supplies to plants and entrapped air within the root region for respiration. Moreover, the soil supplies water to enhance chemical reaction within the plant, and supply plant nutrient that are essential for plant growth. In addition, one of the important factors of production in agriculture and forestry is productive soil. The requirement of productive soil are a good water-holding capacity, sufficient aeration and supply of decomposing mineral and humus that are dissolving at a rate rapid enough to meet the requirement of desire plant growth. The type of soil dictates how best it can be managed to obtain the high yield as well as the type of tree/plant to grow and silvicultural management to adopt. The physical properties of a soil largely determine the way in which it can be used. Soil structure, texture, moisture, aeration and depth are important in tree/plant growth (Ayeni, 2014). In other words, soils are the single most important factor responsible for tree growth. Furthermore, there are variability in soils and soil types; not all soils are equally suited for all species of trees and shrubs. Soils have chemical, physical and biological properties. Chemical properties that vary from site to site include; available nutrients, soil pH and organic matter content. Lack of nutrients or the unavailability of nutrients will limit plant growth. Soil pH, a measure of acidity or alkalinity affects the availability of some nutrients. The ideal pH range for tree growth is 5.5 to 6.5. Some trees begin to exhibit nutrient deficiency symptoms (especially iron) at higher soil pH. Organic matters in soil contribute to tree growth by improving soil structure, nutrient

availability and water holding capacity. The physical aspects of the soil are as important as the chemical properties. Soil texture (proportion of sand, silt and clay) is an important factor in water holding capacity. Soils with large pore spaces (sand) retain less moisture than fine soils; soils containing mostly clay will be wet or poorly drained. Under optimum conditions, the pore space in soil should be 50% air and 50% water. However, compaction of soils decreases pore space and increases plant stress by reducing availability of oxygen to the roots. Soils also are alive with a wide range of bacteria, fungi and other organisms which contribute favourably to the soil environment (Ames, 2001) and influence plants growth in different ways. Among these plants is *Morus alba*. *Morus alba* L. (white mulberry) is an introduced, small to medium sized shrub. The leaves are alternate, simple, serrate and dentate, ovate to broad ovate and two to seven inches long (Dirr, 1990). The flowers are small, greenish, crowded in clusters and hanging in catkins. The fruit is blackberry brown to gray and smooth, becoming divided into narrow scaly ridges. Mulberries have brittle roots and need to be handled with care when being planted. Pruning should be done in the winter when the plant is fully dormant. Only badly placed branches or dead wood should be removed during pruning. Mulberries are bleeders and should not be cut when the sap is flowing. Different mulberries trees vary in fruit production. Sericulture is the most important commercial use for white mulberry. Young, fully developed leaves are best for feeding silkworm larvae. White mulberry can become weed trees as fruits are distributed by birds and other wildlife (Dirr, 1997).

White Mulberry is a native to china, cultivated throughout the world wherever silkworms are raised (Barnes and Wagner, 1981). It is a large long-living tree with light grey bark, which gets darker with age. The leaves are light green soft and shiny in various shapes. The tree does not have special soil requirements and grows in almost all Europe. However, the area of growing does not overlap with the area of mulberry cultivation for silkworm breeding. Despite the fact that mulberry is tolerant to frost, the length of vegetation period plays an important role, thus North European countries do not have suitable climate for mulberry. In order to obtain as much good quality leaves as possible, mulberry requires special treatments (Butt *et al.*, 2008). Fresh and well isolated leaves contain about 70% water, 7.5% protein, 1.1% lipids, 10.6% carbohydrates, 2.6% minerals substances, 5.2% and small amounts of vitamins (B, C and D) and enzymes. More and more information is available on the mulberry leaves as a good source of bioactive substances i.e. the substances that stimulate desired course of metabolic processes in humans. Mulberry foliage is the sole food for silkworm (*Bombyx mori*) and is grown under varied climate condition ranging from temperate to tropical. The leaf is a major economic component in sericulture since the quality and quantity of leaf provided per unit area have a direct bearing on cocoon quality and quantity (CSB, 2003). Apart from being known to be a source of food for silkworm, for the production of cocoon this is foundation of the international silk industry. It also provides general cover, as well as foraging and nesting habitat for many bird species. It is also recommended as a shelter belt tree to improve general wildlife in Great Plain (Hays, 1990) and to provide vegetative cover for bobwhite quail in northwest Oklahoma (Hann, *et al.*, 2008).

Czarapata, (2005) reported that as at 2009, there was little published information regarding the conditions conducive to the establishment of white mulberry. Since it is a hard deep rooted plant, soil should be capable of supplying sufficient air, water and nutrients even at the deeper layers where the root system penetrates. Soil should be fertile, deep. Friable and clayey loam to loam in texture and porous with good water holding capacity (Nataraju *et al.*, 2003), though it does well on a wide variety of soils. It prefers a warm, moist, well-drained loamy soil in a sunny position.

Mulberry sericulture has never been seriously practiced in Nigeria but record shows that imported larvae of *Bombyx mori* L. were reared experimentally and was imported from Mr. L. C. Conjoin in 1963 and the first successful rear of mulberry silkworm in Nigeria was when the employment of modern technological methods have just been competed at the Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria (FRIN) (Onyolu, 2012). Therefore this study aimed to find the best zone for the establishing white mulberry varieties plantation under study for maximum production.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out at the department of Forestry Technology Nursery (Nursery A) of Federal College of Forestry, Jericho, Ibadan. The college is situated at Jericho Hill under Ibadan North-West Local Government areas of Oyo State. The area lies between latitude 7°23' N and longitude 3°51' E. The climate condition of the area is tropically dominated by rainfall pattern from 1400mm – 1500mm. the average temperature is about 26°C, average relative humidity of about 65% with two distinct season of wet (April to October) and dry

(November to March) (FRIN, Metrological Station 2013).

Soils were collected from three selected ecological zones of Nigeria. Ore in Ondo state, Onne Port Harcourt in Rivers State and New Bussa in Niger state. Stem cutting of varieties of *Morus alba* was obtained from Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria (FRIN), Sericulture unit, Ibadan.

Soils were analyzed at SMO Laboratory Service, Ibadan. Clogs of soil, stone and weeds were removed from the soils collected from three ecological zones before potting. The soils were thoroughly watered before planting. Each variety was replicated 3 times. Treatments were kept under weaning shed to reduce evapotranspiration.

Watering was done once daily throughout the period of experiment and collection of data commenced two weeks after planting.

Variables assessed were plant height (cm) using calibrated ruler, stem diameter (mm) using digital vernier caliper, number of leaf, number of branches and leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) determined by Clifton Brown (1997) which is Leaf area = 0.74 (LxW)

The experiment was a 3 x 4 factorial experiment laid out in completely randomized design (CRD). There are 12 treatments in all and each treatment was replicated 3 times making a total of 36 stem cuttings of the varieties of mulberry plant.

| Varieties/soil | SOO       | SOP       | SNB       |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| K2             | K2 + SOO  | K2 + SOP  | K2 + SNB  |
| S30            | S30 + SOO | S30 + SOP | S30 + SNB |
| S14            | S14 + SOO | S14 + SOP | S14 + SNB |
| S54            | S54 + SOO | S54 + SOP | S54 + SNB |

SOO – Soil from Ore, Ondo State (Rainforest)

SOP – Soil from Onne, Port Harcourt, Rivers State (Swamp Forest)

SNB – Soil from New Bussa, Niger State (Guinea Savannah)

K2, S30, S14 and S54 are Mulberry Plant Varieties.

T5 - K2 + SOP

T6 - S30 + SOP

T7 - S14 + SOP

T8 - S54 + SOP

T9 - K2 + SNB

T1 - K2 + SOO

T2 - S30 + SOO

T3 - S14 + SOO

T4 - S54 + SOO

T10 - S30 + SNB

T11 - S14 + SNB

T12 - S54 + SNB

DMRT was used to separate means at 5% level of significance

Table 1: Laboratory Analysis of Soils Used

| Soil elements     | SOO   | SOP   | SNP   |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| pH                | 6.4   | 5.15  | 6.3   |
| Ca (cmol)         | 12.16 | 12.66 | 13.33 |
| Mg (cmol)         | 1.18  | 0.94  | 4.01  |
| Na (cmol)         | 0.42  | 0.29  | 0.71  |
| K (cmol)          | 0.22  | 0.13  | 0.35  |
| Al                | 0.07  | 0.10  | 0.08  |
| +H (cmol)         |       |       |       |
| ECEC (cmol)       | 14.05 | 14.12 | 18.48 |
| Base salt (%)     | 99.51 | 99.30 | 99.57 |
| %N                | 0.15  | 0.13  | 0.11  |
| % Organic content | 1.90  | 1.67  | 1.31  |
| P (mg/kg)         | 14.94 | 30.68 | 11.06 |
| Mn (mg/kg)        | 90.60 | 32.8  | 13.4  |
| Fe (mg/kg)        | 16.15 | 53.05 | 8.65  |
| Cu (mg/kg)        | 0.85  | 1.40  | 0.55  |
| Zn (mg/kg)        | 7.20  | 7.75  | 2.85  |
| %sand             | 89.60 | 88.60 | 85.60 |
| %silt             | 6.20  | 6.80  | 8.80  |
| % clay            | 4.20  | 4.60  | 5.60  |

Source: Analysis from SMO Laboratory service, Ibadan,

From above table, the top soil from swamp forest (SOP) had the highest pH (5.15) this is acidic. The pH under swamp forest can be attributed to the presence of micro organisms on the surface that acts on leaf litter, while the reduction in pH of soils from rainforest (SOO) and guinea savannah (SNB) with values 6.4, 6.3 may be attributed to the increase in bases such as Ca, K and Mg. the effective cation exchange capacity ECEC) in guinea savannah (SNB) was higher (18.48cmol/kg) than the other soils. This may be attributed to the degree of weathering and leaching processes as described by Kang et al., 1991. Further, Ore, rain forest soil (SOO) had the highest value of %N followed by Onne, Swamp forest (SOP), New Bussa and Guinea savannah (SNB) had the lowest value thus 0.15, 0.13

and 0.11 respectively. Also, Onne, Swamp forest (SOP) had the highest value of %P, followed by Ore, rainforest soil (SOO), while New Bussa, Guinea Savannah (SNB) had the lowest value thus 30.68, 14.94 and 11.06 respectively. The reason may be due to the fact that there had been a depletion of the essential nutrient in the soil due to continuous cropping system. Therefore, this work supported Shashidhar, *et al* (2009) that highly intensive mulberry cropping system causes depletion of nutrients in the soil and excess usage of inorganic fertilizers and pesticides caused deleterious effect on soil health.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2: Effect of soils from three selected ecological zones of Nigeria on growth variables of *Morus alba* seedlings.



| Treatment/Variables | Height (cm) | Stem Diameter (mm) | Leaf Production | Leaf Area (cm <sup>2</sup> ) | No of Branches |
|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| T <sub>1</sub>      | 22.33       | 3.25               | 16.33           | 20.06                        | 2.00           |
| T <sub>2</sub>      | 20.07       | 3.02               | 9.67            | 18.75                        | 1.67           |
| T <sub>3</sub>      | 18.57       | 3.23               | 14.67           | 12.36                        | 2.00           |
| T <sub>4</sub>      | 18.53       | 2.73               | 11.00           | 16.03                        | 2.00           |
| T <sub>5</sub>      | 6.43        | 2.11               | 5.33            | 7.47                         | 1.67           |
| T <sub>6</sub>      | 15.73       | 2.93               | 9.33            | 16.25                        | 2.00           |
| T <sub>7</sub>      | 27.97       | 3.74               | 17.33           | 20.57                        | 2.00           |
| T <sub>8</sub>      | 12.67       | 2.53               | 11.67           | 15.43                        | 1.67           |
| T <sub>9</sub>      | 12.57       | 2.61               | 10.00           | 11.64                        | 2.00           |
| T <sub>10</sub>     | 15.07       | 2.72               | 12.00           | 16.58                        | 2.00           |
| T <sub>11</sub>     | 21.83       | 3.19               | 13.67           | 19.94                        | 2.00           |
| T <sub>12</sub>     | 14.97       | 2.27               | 10.00           | 14.59                        | 2.33           |

Table 3: Analysis of variance for height of *Morus alba* seedlings

| SV         | Df | SS      | MS     | F-cal  | P-value |
|------------|----|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| Factor-F1  | 3  | 363.46  | 121.16 | 2.31ns | 0.10    |
| Factor-F2  | 2  | 142.17  | 71.08  | 1.36ns | 0.28    |
| Int. F1xF2 | 6  | 430.61  | 71.77  | 1.37ns | 0.27    |
| Treatments | 11 | 936.24  | 85.11  | 1.62ns | 0.16    |
| Error      | 24 | 1258.81 | 52.45  |        |         |
| Total      | 35 | 2195.05 |        |        |         |

NOTE: \* significant at a level of 5% probability ( $.01 \leq p < 0.05$ ); ns – Non significant ( $p \geq .05$ );

F1 - variety

## PLANT HEIGHT

From the table above, T<sub>7</sub> (SOP + S14) had the highest height with value of 27.97cm, followed by T<sub>1</sub> (SOO + K2) with mean value of 22.33cm. While T<sub>5</sub> (SOP + K2) had the lowest mean height value of 6.34cm. The reason for the least performance may be due to the fact that variety K2 does not thrive well on soil with high pH. This work supported Ames (2001) who stated that not all soils are equally suited for all species of trees and shrubs and some tree species begin to exhibit nutrient deficiency at higher soil pH, and the findings of Su (1998) that mulberry tree/plants have wide distribution and vigorous growth because, under soil pH

value of 4.5 – 8.5 and salt content of 0.2%, mulberry plant still grow normally. Furthermore, there was no significant difference among the treatments used in this study at 5% level of probability.

## STEM DIAMETER

From the table above, it was observed that T<sub>7</sub> (SOP + S14) performed best in stem diameter with mean value of 3.74mm, followed by T<sub>1</sub> (SOO + K2) with mean value of 3.25mm. While T<sub>5</sub> (SOP + K2) had the least performance in stem diameter with mean value of 2.11mm. The reason for the least performance may be due to the fact that variety K2 does not thrive well on soil with

high pH. This work supported Ames (2001) who stated that not all soils are equally suited for all species of trees and shrubs and plant depends on the soil type, plant variety and availability of plant nutrient. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) indicated significant differences between the interaction of the soils and varieties.

### LEAF PRODUCTION

It was observed that T<sub>7</sub> (SOP + S14) performed best in Leaf Production with mean value of 17.33, followed by T<sub>1</sub> (SOO + K2) with mean Leaf Production of 16.33. While T<sub>5</sub> (SOP + K2) had the lowest performance in Leaf Production with mean value of 5.33. This may be due to the fact that the soils contained the major nutrient element (nitrogen. Phosphorus and potassium) required for mulberry leaf production. This work therefore supported the findings of Jaiswal, *et al.*, (2005), that mulberry leaf productivity is highly dependent on plant nutrients like NPK which are mainly essential for luxuriant growth of mulberry crop. Furthermore, there was no significant difference among the treatments used at 5% level of significance.

### LEAF AREA

The table above, it was observed that T<sub>7</sub> (SOP + S14) had the highest leaf area of 20.57cm<sup>2</sup>, followed by T<sub>1</sub> (SOO + K2) with mean leaf area of 20.06cm<sup>2</sup>. While T<sub>5</sub> (SOP + K2) had the lowest mean leaf area value of 7.47cm<sup>2</sup>. This may be as a result of soil type and plant variety. This result was in accordance with Anikumar and John (1999) who stated that mulberry leaf yield and quality depends on the soil type, plant variety and availability of plant nutrients and agro-ecological conditions.

### Number of Branch

As shown in the table above, T<sub>12</sub> (SNB + S54) had the highest number of branches with mean value of 2.33, followed by T<sub>1</sub> (SOO + K2), T<sub>3</sub> (SOO + S14), T<sub>4</sub> (SOO + S54), T<sub>6</sub> (SOP + S30), T<sub>7</sub> (SOP + S14), T<sub>9</sub> (SNB + K2), T<sub>10</sub> (SNB + S30) and T<sub>11</sub> (SNB + S14) with mean value of 2.0, while T<sub>2</sub> (SOO + S30), T<sub>5</sub> (SOP + K2) and T<sub>8</sub> (SOP + S54) had the lowest number of branches with mean value of 1.67. This may be seen in the nutrient element of the soils which were in the same range and this supported the findings of Nataraju *et al.*, (2003) that *Morus alba* can grow on a wide variety of soils. Also there was no significant difference among the treatments used at 5% level of significance.

### CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Improved mulberry varieties with higher leaf productivity are very essential for enhancing and sustaining profitability in sericulture thus cultivation and tending of mulberry plants for the production of succulent and nutritious leaves for the feeding of silkworms (*Bombyx mori*) should be given great consideration for the sustenance of the production of silk. The result of this study showed that the selected varieties of white mulberry thrived well on soils from swamp forest (SOP), Rainforest (SOO) except K2 which had poor performance on soil from swamp forest (SOP + K2). Furthermore, the result also showed that varieties S30 and S54 performed well in soil collected from guinea savanna (SNB).

### REFERENCES

Ames, J. (2001): Community Tree Steward Program. Department of Agriculture. Stanley, R. Johnsonson, director, Comparative Extension Service, IOWA

State University of Science and Technology.  
Pp 1 – 2.

Anikumar, S. and John, R. S. (1999): Integrated Nutrient Management for sustainable Mulberry Production in Humid Tropical National semagarian Tropical sericulture, University of Agricultural Science, Bangalore. Pp 11 – 16.

Ayeni, D. O. (2014): Forest Ecology. Unpublished students Lecture note. Pp 1 – 4.

Barnes, B. V. and Wagner, W. H. (1981): Michigan Trees: A guide to the Trees of Michigan and the Great Lake Region. University of Michigan Press. Ann. Arbor VIII + 395pp.

Butt, M. S., Nazir, A., Sultan, M. T. and Schroan, K. (2008): *Morus alba* L. Nature Functional Tonic, Trend in Food and Science Technology. 19 (10): 505 – 512 (75298)

Central Silk Board (CSB) (2003): Seri Business Manual: Users Guide. Ministry of Textiles, Government of India. Vol. II, 44 – 48pp.

Clifton Brown, J. C. (1997): The Importance of Temperature in Controlling Leaf Growth of Miscanthus in Temperate Climate. PhD thesis, Trinity College, Dublin. Pp 194 – 196.

Czarapata, E. J. (2005): Invasive Plants of the Upper Midwest: An Illustrated Guide to their identification and control. Madison, W. I. The University of Wisconsin Press. 215pp.

Dirr, M. A. (1997/8): Manual of woody landscape plants. Their identification, ornamental characteristics, culture,

propagation and uses. 5th ed. Champaign II stripes Publishing 1187p (74836)

FRIN, (2013): Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria Metrological Station report

Hann, W. J., Shlisky, A., Havlina, D., Schon, K., Barrett, S., Stephen, W., Demeo, T. E., Ponl, K., Menus, J. P., Hamilton, D., Jones, J., Levesque, M., and Frame, C. K. (2008): Interagency fire regime condition class Guide Book. Pp 1 – 30.

Hays, J. (1990): Wildlife considerations in windbreak renovation in; great Plant Agricultural council compiler No. 133. Manhattan, K.S., Kansas State University comparative extension service. 35 – 41.

Jaiswal, K., Goel, R., Singh, S., Kumar, R. and Gupta, S. (2005): Influence of Different Organic Manure on few Traits of Mulberry and Silk Cocoon under luck row condition 'Progress of Research in Organic Sericulture and Seri-By-Product Utilization. Seriscientific Publishers, Banglaore. Pp 127 – 130.

Nataraju, B., Balavenkata Sublank, M., Selvakumar, T. and Sharma, S. D. (2003): Illustration Handbook on Silkworm Disease Control English – Teluga Lang.Eds Kawakami, K and Dr. Yanagawa HPEBS 7528.

Onyolu, A. C. (2012): Morphological and chemical characterization of mulberry varieties unpublished Higher National Diploma Project work, Federal College of Forestry, Ibadan, pp 1 – 2.

Shashidhar, K. R., Narayanaswany, T. K., Bhaskar, R. N., Jagadesh, B. R., Mahesh, M. and Krishna, K. S. (2009): Influence of Organic based Nutrient on soil health and Mulberry Production, e Journal of biological science 1 (1) pp 94 – 98.



Shashidhar, K. R., Narayanaswamy, T. R., Bhaskar, R. N., Jagadish, B. A., Madesh, M and Krishna, R. S. (2009): Influence of Organic based Nutrients on soil health and Mulberry (*Morus alba*).

Su, G. K. (1998): Relationship between Metabolic Variation of Active Oxygen and Salt Tolerance of Mulberry under Salt Stress, Journal of Soochow University. 14(1) 85 – 90.